

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/844,475   | 04/27/2001  | James C. Hillegass   | 2160                     | 9128             |
| 7590 05/04/2004  |             |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C. Suite 100 2900 Thomas Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55416 |             |                      | CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART'UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3621                     | <u> </u>         |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 09/844,475  | HILLEGASS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mary Cheung   | 3621   MW  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the  | e correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap   | oril 2001.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| )☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Offi  | ce Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li></ul>   | ity documents have been rece  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | ' ' '   | ived.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summa  | ary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail  | Date   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | al Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 6)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit; 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the application filed on April 27, 2001. Claims 1-40 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14, drawn to access digital content within a content file, classified in class 707, subclass 9.
  - Claims 15-25, drawn to register digital contents using cryptographic keys, classified in class 713, subclass 150.
  - III. Claims 26-31, drawn to securely obtain a product license, classified in class 705, subclass 64.
  - IV. Claims 32-33, drawn to authorization of user's payment information, classified in class 705, subclass 30-44.
  - V. Claims 34-38, drawn to securely manage data in each entity that involves rights of digital contents, classified in class 705, subclass 52-56.
  - VI. Claims 39-40, drawn to use a producer software program and a player software program for managing digital data, classified in class 380, subclass 201.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

Art Unit: 3621

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as verifying user identifications, which can be used with authentication of email access other than used with registering digital contents; invention II also has separate utility such as encryption keys, which can be used for encrypting sensitive information other than used with accessing digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as verifying user identifications, which can be used with authentication of email access other than used with obtaining a product license; invention III also has separate utility such as obtaining a user license, which can be used with establishing a legal business other than used with accessing digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as verifying user identifications, which can be used with authentication of email access other than used with authorization of user's payment information; invention IV also has separate utility such as identifying user payment data, which can be used with monitoring user financial information other than used with accessing digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Art Unit: 3621

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing rights of digital contents can use an anonymous user system other than verifying the known users. The subcombination has separate utility such as verifying user identifications, which can be used for authentication of email access.

Inventions I and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as verifying user identifications, which can be used with authentication of email access other than used with managing digital data; invention VI also has separate utility such as a producer software program, which can be used with software production company other than used with accessing digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because obtaining a product license can simply present a paper-based identification document without need of cryptographic

Art Unit; 3621

keys. The subcombination has separate utility such as encryption keys, which can be used for encrypting email messages.

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as encryption keys, which can be used with encrypting email messages other than used with authorization of user's payment information; invention IV also has separate utility such as identifying user payment data, which can be used with monitoring user financial information other than used with registering digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing rights of digital contents can simply issue a paper-based rights document without need of cryptographic keys. The subcombination has separate utility such as encryption keys, which can be used for encrypting email messages.

Inventions VI and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit; 3621

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing digital data can simply issue a paper-based identification document without need of cryptographic keys. The subcombination has separate utility such as encryption keys, which can be used for encrypting email messages.

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as obtaining a user license, which can be used with establishing a legal business other than used with authorization of user's payment information; invention IV also has separate utility such as identifying user payment data, which can be used with monitoring user financial information other than used with obtaining a product license. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing rights of digital contents can obtain a product license through mails other than obtaining a product license through computer networks. The subcombination has separate utility such as obtaining a user license, which can be used with establishing a legal business.

Art Unit: 3621

Inventions VI and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing digital data can obtain a product license through mails other than obtaining a product license through computer networks. The subcombination has separate utility such as obtaining a user license, which can be used with establishing a legal business.

Inventions V and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because managing rights of digital contents can accept cash payment for the digital contents other than the need of authorization of user's payment information. The subcombination has separate utility such as identifying user payment data, which can be used with monitoring user financial information.

Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate

Art Unit; 3621

utility such as identifying user payment data, which can be used with monitoring user financial information other than used with managing digital data; invention VI also has separate utility such as a producer software program, which can be used with software production company other than used with authorization of user's payment information. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as registering digital data, which can be used with online registration other than used with the producer software and the player software; invention VI also has separate utility such as a player software program, which can be used with listening music online other than used with managing rights of digital contents. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3621

### Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (703)-305-0084. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(703) 746-5619 (Draft Communications)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist.

Mary Cheung Manscher Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3621

April 15, 2004